

## MRS. WYSE'S STORY.

Her Account of Alleged Brutality and Many Separations.

Hinsdale Tells What He Knows of Her Husband's Past Life.

A Former Settlement and Two Wills That Were Destroyed.

The continued interest of the public in the domestic and social affairs of "Billy" Summer Wyse, old-time rounder and good fellow, and his silver-gray but still handsome wife, when, Wyse testified, he first met in a house of questionable character, was evidenced in the unusually large audience which gathered in Superior Court, Special Term, today, when Judge Freedman resumed the trial of Mrs. Wyse's suit against his wife, to recover his property, transferred to her in 1922 when, according to his allegation, he was of unsound mind and didn't know what he was doing.



MRS. WYSE TESTIFIES.

John W. Harper, of the publishing house of Harper Bros., was the first witness of the day.

"What is your judgment of the mental capacity of Mr. Wyse and his ability as a business man?" Mr. Hinsdale asked. "He was a keen business man, particularly shrewd, down to the time in 1922 when he perished with his property," was the rather unexpected reply which Mr. Harper made to the question of the brown-eyed lady's lawyer.

Then Mr. Harper kicked over Mr. Lamb's milk pail by saying that he had never seen anything, but Wyse's conduct or appearance to indicate insanity or falling mind.

Then Mr. Hinsdale, Mrs. Wyse's lawyer, took the stand and testified to his long acquaintance with Mr. and Mrs. Wyse. In the summer of 1922 he was at the Long Branch Hotel. So were the Wyse.

"Mr. Wyse was frequently under the influence of liquor. So much so that he was kept in retirement. One evening he came out to the veranda, quite intoxicated. He talked in a maudlin way, so much so that his wife came out and spoke to him. He had been drinking with a number of friends. He went away with her and did not appear again, I suppose she put him to bed."

"In 1922, on a train between New York and Albany, I had an interview with Mr. Wyse in the presence of two of his gentlemen friends in a drawing-room car. Mr. Wyse stated that a Mr. Spitzka had an invention for making starch, and he was going into it. He said he had asked his wife to mortgage her property for \$50,000 to state him in the business. She had refused, and he was going for her."

"I'll put her where I found her," said Mr. Wyse. "I found her in the ditch, and I'll put her back there."

"He had evidently been drinking. He offered me a drink, but I refused," said the lawyer-witness, in answer to questions put by his associate counsel, Jacob.

"Speaking of the agreement of settlement signed by Mr. Wyse in September, 1922, Mr. Hinsdale asked that Mr. Townsend & Ridgway, assisted in preparing the document, Mr. Townsend is now practicing law in New York in this city, and Mr. Ridgway is a District Attorney in New York. The settlement began in June and was not concluded till September."

"Mr. Wyse had been a suit for a separation, and to recover his property on the ground of undue influence. This was to be his settlement. In August, Wyse called on Hinsdale and told him he was sorry he had brought the suit, and would never press it. He was going to see his wife. The witness tried to arrange a meeting."

"Mrs. Wyse said she would not see her husband because she did not want to see the suggestion of undue influence. Mr. Wyse must first determine whether he was going to press his suit or withdraw it. He said he would see her, and she would see him," said the witness.

"Then he described how Wyse had had a copy of the settlement agreement under consideration for several weeks, and had finally signed it. He said that after mature consideration and consultation with counsel."

"Ten days after the next feast of St. Valentine, Billy and Mary met in Mr. Hinsdale's office. Together they looked over some papers which had come to see. Among the papers was a will executed by Mrs. Wyse. This was torn up and thrown into the Hinsdale waste basket to the presence of the now impecunious husband."

"Mrs. Wyse noticed that the settlement papers had not been acknowledged. She wanted to see the acknowledgment of both Wyse and Mrs. Wyse. They were friendly in their behavior for each other that day."

"She has been a good wife to me," said the plaintiff Billy at that time. "I had always followed her advice. I would be a rich man if I sign anything against her, and I'll sign anything she tells me to sign."

"He seemed much depressed," said the witness, "and I felt very sorry for him. He admitted it, and said he was very sorry and would retract everything. Afterwards she saw him. She told him

his habits were so bad he couldn't live with him. He pleaded to be taken back to his husband. She said she would take him back."

"What's your business?" Mr. Lamb asked.

"My business," she repeated, in mild surprise, then softly, "I am a widow."

"Where was your business in 1922? A. I was a widow."

"Where do you live? A. At the Hotel Barclay."

"What were you doing at the Cambridge in 1922?"

"I was living there for a time."

"At the time Mrs. Wyse was at the Cambridge?"

"Yes. I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

"What was your business?"

"I was there for two or three days."

## MOTHER AND CHILD MISSING.

Mrs. Henry Carey and Her Boy Mysteriously Disappear.

Last Heard From Applying for Police-Station Lodgings.

Mrs. Henry Carey and her three-year-old boy, who came to this city from Philadelphia on Saturday, have disappeared, and a general alarm has been sent out by the New York police.



MASTER CAREY, AGED THREE.

Henry Carey, of 221 North Eleventh street, Philadelphia, decided a short time ago to move to New York. On Saturday he sent his wife and little boy ahead, to wait for him at the house of his aunt, Mrs. Cannon, 310 East Forty-sixth street.

Mrs. Carey and the child left Philadelphia on an afternoon train Saturday, and have not since been heard from.



MRS. HATTIE CAREY.

(Who, with her little boy, disappeared from a train bound for New York.)

Carey learned of his wife's disappearance the following day. He learned that a woman and child had applied at Police Headquarters last Saturday night for lodging, and were directed to the Mulberry street police station. However, nothing had been heard of them.

Mrs. Carey had plenty of money, and her husband cannot understand her reason for leaving. He is now at Police Headquarters.

She was 5 feet 4 inches in height, with light complexion, blue eyes, and brown hair. She wore a dark blue dress and coat, and a red hat. The little boy wore a brown suit and had on a dark gray overcoat.

## MISS STYLES DISAPPEARS.

She Insisted Upon Remaining in Church for Hours at a Time.

Miss Elizabeth Styles has frequented Father Taylor's church, at Seventy-first street and the Boulevard, of late, going there to attend services, and on many occasions, she has been seen in the church, and on many occasions, she has been seen in the church, and on many occasions, she has been seen in the church.

She was perfectly quiet and troubled one of the other devotees, but she never left her seat. She was seen in the church, and on many occasions, she has been seen in the church, and on many occasions, she has been seen in the church.

Father Taylor argued with her on the subject, but she would not abate her self-imposed task of fasting and prayer. At last the pastor wrote to Miss Styles's sister, Mrs. Black, of 228 West Seventeenth street. Mrs. Black, however, could do nothing in the matter.

Last Tuesday night when the sexton closed the church Miss Styles went to the West Sixty-eighth street police station and begged for permission to stay in the church.

John Anderson, formerly bookkeeper in the Union Trust Savings Bank, who was arrested a short time ago on complaint of Mrs. Miriam Osborn, charged him with molesting and otherwise annoying women on an elevated train, and he was released.

Frederick Baker's Widow Sued. Suit to recover \$2,000 and interest has been begun in the Supreme Court by Mrs. Sophia Knell against Amelia F. Baker, executrix of the estate of Frederick Baker, who was associated with Samuel Seid in robbing the Blythe and Leather Bank of \$24,000. Baker took the money on July 1, 1921, and gave his note for \$20,000. The note was not paid.

FIGHTING FOR HER CHILD. Mrs. Freda Lindh Not Yet Able to Get Her.

Supreme Court Chambers were crowded with lawyers this morning when the case of Freda Lindh, who seeks to recover her five-year-old daughter from Mrs. Mary Ball, was called.

Mrs. Mary Ball appeared for the plaintiff this morning, and said she had been retained as counsel for Mrs. Lindh. She asked Justice Ingraham to allow Mrs. Wallace to appear as associate counsel. The latter, he said, had applied for admission to practice in this State. Justice Ingraham granted the request. Lawyer Wallace then asked that the child be returned to the custody of its mother.

Lawyer Wallace began a touching plea to have the child returned to Mrs. Lindh. After he had spoken several minutes Justice Ingraham said: "I'm not doing. My time is too valuable. I'll listen to long speeches. Come down to the court and let me hear you."

Justice Ingraham gave plaintiff until tomorrow to file a demurrer and briefs.

## ELOPED AND DISOWNED.

Winifred Waters and Richard Henry Gattling Wedded.

Winifred Waters, of 141 West Seventy-sixth street, daughter of J. R. Waters, President of the Insurance Loyds, left home on Jan. 19 to marry Richard Henry

Gattling, brother-in-law and law partner of Hugh O. Pentecost.

Young Gattling, who is also a son of the inventor of the Gattling gun, was engaged to Miss Waters for several months with her parents' consent, but owing to testimony given by Hugh O. Pentecost before the Lexow Committee as to the experience Pentecost and Gattling had in prison-keeping bribery, Mr. Waters withdrew his consent, and the engagement was dissolved.

On the evening of Jan. 15, while the rest of the Waters family were absent, Miss Winifred Gattling, who was accompanied by Dr. Pentecost, and the trio went to the house of Rev. Isaac M. Haldeman, 28 West End avenue, where the young couple were married.

After the wedding they went to Dr. Pentecost's home, and have lived there ever since. A few days later the bride's belongings were sent to her, with a message forbidding her to return to her father's house.

MRS. KEENE DISCHARGED. Her Husband Turned Over to United States Officers.

James Matthew Keene and his wife, Kate Keene, accompanied by their counsel, Congressman Sulzer, and Holmes Agnew, arrived at the Jefferson Market Court at 12 o'clock this morning, from Police Headquarters and were taken into custody by United States officers.

Keene is the Englishman who was arrested at the Hotel Marlborough a few days ago, and who was charged with the charge of Mrs. Keene on the ground that there was nothing in the cablegram from Liverpool which incited her to the alleged embezzlement. Justice Keene took the view of the case and immediately discharged Mrs. Keene.

Congressman Sulzer asked Justice Keene to transfer the custody of the United States officers, who were present for the purpose. Keene was then turned over to the United States officers.

ANNOUNCED HIS FORMER LOVE. Shannon Shouted After Miss Woods and Was Arrested.

Cornelius Shannon, a professional nurse, of 187 Nassau street, Greenpoint, was arrested by Justice Tighe, in the Butler Street Police Court today, charged with annoying Miss Annie Woods, of 321 Hoyt street, a public school teacher.

Miss Woods said she had formerly been engaged to Shannon, but of late he had been following her along the street and shouting "I love you" to her.

Shannon is said to come of a good family. His brother, it is said, is a judge in the Supreme Court. He had no intention of annoying Miss Woods.

"I loved her once, Judge," said he. "Are you over it now?" asked Judge Tighe.

"I'm willing that she should take back all the promises she made to me," he said. He was held \$100 bond for good behavior.

## MISS LYON'S INJURIES.

Physicians Testify for Her in Her \$30,000 Damage Suit.

The suit of Agnes B. Lyon against the Manhattan Elevated Railroad to recover \$30,000 damages for injuries received in an accident on Oct. 11, 1922, was continued before Judge Bookbinder, in the Court of Common Pleas today.

This case has been the subject of a very arduous and protracted examination by a commission of physicians.

The first witness to-day was Mrs. Charlotte Dosses, with whom Mrs. Lyon resided for several months. Mrs. Dosses described the suffering of the girl, who is now but a physical wreck, and begged for permission to stay in the church.

She was 5 feet 4 inches in height, with light complexion, blue eyes, and brown hair. She wore a dark blue dress and coat, and a red hat. The little boy wore a brown suit and had on a dark gray overcoat.

MISS LYON AND HER FRIEND. (She would not admit to physical examination.)

She was followed by Dr. Allen Fitch, of 122 West Thirty-fourth street, who attended Miss Lyon, and in conjunction with Drs. Quinn and Thompson, made two physical examinations of Miss Lyon.

Dr. Fitch said that many portions of the girl's anatomy had been badly injured, and that she was in a state of physical exhaustion.

John Anderson, formerly bookkeeper in the Union Trust Savings Bank, who was arrested a short time ago on complaint of Mrs. Miriam Osborn, charged him with molesting and otherwise annoying women on an elevated train, and he was released.

Frederick Baker's Widow Sued. Suit to recover \$2,000 and interest has been begun in the Supreme Court by Mrs. Sophia Knell against Amelia F. Baker, executrix of the estate of Frederick Baker, who was associated with Samuel Seid in robbing the Blythe and Leather Bank of \$24,000. Baker took the money on July 1, 1921, and gave his note for \$20,000. The note was not paid.

FIGHTING FOR HER CHILD. Mrs. Freda Lindh Not Yet Able to Get Her.

Supreme Court Chambers were crowded with lawyers this morning when the case of Freda Lindh, who seeks to recover her five-year-old daughter from Mrs. Mary Ball, was called.

Mrs. Mary Ball appeared for the plaintiff this morning, and said she had been retained as counsel for Mrs. Lindh. She asked Justice Ingraham to allow Mrs. Wallace to appear as associate counsel. The latter, he said, had applied for admission to practice in this State. Justice Ingraham granted the request. Lawyer Wallace then asked that the child be returned to the custody of its mother.

Lawyer Wallace began a touching plea to have the child returned to Mrs. Lindh. After he had spoken several minutes Justice Ingraham said: "I'm not doing. My time is too valuable. I'll listen to long speeches. Come down to the court and let me hear you."

Justice Ingraham gave plaintiff until tomorrow to file a demurrer and briefs.

## ELOPED AND DISOWNED.

Winifred Waters and Richard Henry Gattling Wedded.

Winifred Waters, of 141 West Seventy-sixth street, daughter of J. R. Waters, President of the Insurance Loyds, left home on Jan. 19 to marry Richard Henry

## NOT FIGHTING OVER CUPID.

Aldermen Wrangle Over Abuses in the Marriage Bureau.

Some Recent Bridegrooms Tell of Fees They Paid.

Alderman Ware's investigation of the City Hall Marriage Bureau came up before the Committee on County Affairs today and was the occasion of something very like fighting talk between Aldermen Oakley and Ware. The Tammany Alderman was inclined to be prophetic, but Mr. Ware was very sportive, and went so far as to state that if Mr. Oakley had anything to say of a person's nature, he was ready to hear it outside.

This was all caused by Mr. Oakley's mild insinuation that the young Republic Alderman had found a mare's nest. Alderman Ware had several witnesses on hand to testify concerning the alleged corruption which existed in the bureau.

The first witness was Rejected Guido, who is said to be 18, 19, he came to the City Hall with his prospective bride, who met Marco Bufano, alias "Football Frank," in the corridor. Guido said he took him to room 13, and there he was put through the marriage ceremony by Alderman Wundt.

With the assistance of Frank, who acted as an interpreter. Then, Guido was taken into the library, room and there a "little stout man," who Alderman Ware was sure was "Cupid," Frank, handed him a document which would hand over the marriage certificate.

Guido said he paid the money and got his certificate. Onias Roberto, a friend of Guido, was called. He also said he married on Dec. 13 in room 13 with Alderman Wundt. He was asked for \$5 to pay for his certificate, an additional \$10 for the "little stout man," who he was being swindled, he paid the money. He gave "Cupid" a \$20 bill and got his certificate.

The investigation proceeded, but there were frequent interruptions, when Alderman Oakley and Ware indulged in personalities, which made things lively and amusing. The committee members of the Committee on County Affairs.

Harry Van Pelt, who, with "Cupid" Fitzgerald, is credited with having run things with a high hand, was next called to the stand. He was asked for \$10 to pay for his certificate, and he was being swindled, he paid the money. He gave "Cupid" a \$20 bill and got his certificate.

Frank, the bootblack, Van Pelt said, was a "little stout man," who he was being swindled, he paid the money. He gave "Cupid" a \$20 bill and got his certificate.

Frank, the bootblack, Van Pelt said, was a "little stout man," who he was being swindled, he paid the money. He gave "Cupid" a \$20 bill and got his certificate.

Frank, the bootblack, Van Pelt said, was a "little stout man," who he was being swindled, he paid the money. He gave "Cupid" a \$20 bill and got his certificate.

Frank, the bootblack, Van Pelt said, was a "little stout man," who he was being swindled, he paid the money. He gave "Cupid" a \$20 bill and got his certificate.

Frank, the bootblack, Van Pelt said, was a "little stout man," who he was being swindled, he paid the money. He gave "Cupid" a \$20 bill and got his certificate.

Frank, the bootblack, Van Pelt said, was a "little stout man," who he was being swindled, he paid the money. He gave "Cupid" a \$20 bill and got his certificate.

Frank, the bootblack, Van Pelt said, was a